1921.

Department of Rev mic

OFFICE Board Lol

STATION Madras,

Rev No. 1358

Dated 12-21

	Date	Initi
n sent	Given for copying	
	Copied	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
the file	To be destroyed on	

(SUBJECT.)

re Tank (Southern
Pennangadi Tank - Banmur
No 192 - Utilisation of
Recess approval a

* Not to be filled in the case of permanent records.

BOARD OF REVENUE
6 AUG 1921
LAND REVENUE DEPT.
MADRAS

B3244

The Honourable Members of the
Board of Revenue,
Madras.

(Land Revenue Dept.)

Petitioner:- Venkappa Gouda son of Goltila Maila Gouda, residing in Bannur Village, Uppinangady Taluk, South Kanara Dt.



The petitioner being highly aggrieved by the order of the Collector of South Kanara District dated 7th July 1921, begs to place his case before the Board for its kind consideration as follows:-

1. The petitioner is the duly appointed trustee of Sri Sadashiva Deva Temple in his village (192 in institution) which has the grant of Tasdik of Rs 9-9-7 from Government.

2. One Ammu Rai was the trustee till 1899 and after him the petitioner's grand-father was looking after the institution till about 1910. The Tasdik due to the temple was drawn for the last time by the said Ammu Rai in 1899. Ever since that time the Tasdik has remained unpaid.

3. There has been some thing or other which rendered both the petitioner and prior to him his grand-father incapable of realising the Tasdik fallen due to the institution. The grandfather, even when he succeeded to the trusteeship, was too old and infirm to move out of the village and take the necessary steps towards the realisation of the Tasdik. The petitioner belongs to the backward community of Goudas, and when he took his grand-father's place, he was quite ignorant as to the action that had to be taken. All that he could do and did was to seek the aid of the village officers

officers who merely gave him some shuffles in the matter saying that it could be done later on. Subsequently the petitioner was given to understand that a Sanad from the Shivalli Committee was necessary in order to give him legal authority to draw the Tasdik. But upon enquiries, the petitioner found that the Shivalli Committee was incomplete. It was complete only towards the end of 1920 and the petitioner lost no time in applying for the Sanad.

4. On getting the Sanad in November 1920 the petitioner applied to the Tahsildar of Puttur Taluk for permission to draw the Tasdik from the Taluk Treasury and got the reply that the said officer had no power. Thereupon the petitioner applied to the Collector of South Kanara and he replied that the request could not be complied with, though the subordinate officers who came for personal investigation were entirely satisfied that the institution has been in good repair and the services properly performed all along.

5. The petitioner is a poor man and he has been advancing though with great difficulty his private money for the temple expenses with the hope that it can be recovered later on; because of the religious terror that the cessation of the services by those on which the duty naturally lies will be attended with a curse on the family of such people and on the villagers in general. The money so advanced by the petitioner and his grandfather has not been recovered. In his present domestic troubles, the petitioner fears that he would not be able to spare any of his money for the temple purposes.

6. The petitioner thus finds himself confronted on the one hand by the religious [illegible] which requires him to continue the services of this religious institution and on the other, by pecuniary difficulties which prevent him from continuing the services without

without the aid of the Tasdik grant.

The petitioner therefore prays that the Honourable Members of the Board will kindly consider his case and order the grant of the Tasdik for the past years and also that proper provision may be made for its payment in future.

Rupees four only.

Enclosure One only.

B 3444

BOARD OF REVENUE
22 AUG 1921
LAND REVENUE DEPT.
MADRAS

Endorsement no. B 3244 of 21 dated 8-8-21

The petitioner should affix court fee stamps of the value of Rs 2/- (two) to the appeal petition and of annas eight to the copy of the Collectors order and resubmit them within one month from the date of receipt of this endorsement

A Mary ... O
Assistant Secretary
Board of Revenue Land Revenue

To the petitioners.

Forwarded to the Honourable Members of the Board of Revenue madras. with thanks duly affixed to the petition

L. Dis. No. 1078-21-E. 1.

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara. dated 7th uly 1921.

R. H. Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S

Read (1) petition dated 12th February 1921 from Venkappa Gowda son of Goltila Maila Gowda of Bannur village. Uppinangadi Taluk.

This office N. Ref. No. 1078-21-E. 1 dated 22. 2. 1921 -- and the Uppinangadi Tahsildar's report Dis. No. 468 D/Rev/21 --- dated 26. 6. 1921 received with the Revenue Divisional Officer's endorsement N. Dis. G. C. No. 1174 Rev/21 dated 29. 6. 1921

and (3) This office Dis. 81 R/Rev/11 dated 8. 3. 1911.

Tasdik due to Sadashiva Deva or Bannur - claim petitioners passed.

In the circumstances reported the petitioner's request cannot be complied with.



For Collector.

To the Tahsildar of Uppinangadi thro' the Revenue Divisional Officer.

Copy (para 1) to the petitioner.

C.F. 20 (a)—4-2-14.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

Office.

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

Register No. B 3244, dated

When given to fair-copying

Fair copied by

Fair copy compared by

When despatched

Allowance. ...dik- (S Ka
Uppinangadi Taluk - Bar
To Village - Institution no 19
THE - Payment of - Appeal
by Venkappa Gowda

Reference no B3244-21-1 dated 23.8.21.

Forwarded to the Collector of South Kanara for submission of the connected records with such remarks as he may wish to offer.

To the Collector of South Kanara
with petition and enclosure
to be returned

REVENUE **DEPARTMENT**

Received BOARD OF REVENUE 30 OCT 1921 LAND REVENUE DEPT. MADRAS 192 : Registered B 4007

Allowance - Tasdik (South Kanara) - Uppinangadi Taluk - Bannur village - Institution No. 192 - payment - ppeal by Venkappa Gowda.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 3
Spare copies

Ref. No 5388-21-E. 1.

Station Mangalore, *dated the* 28th September 19 21.

From (*Name*) R. H. Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S.,

(*Designation*) Collector of South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue, Madras.

Sir,

Board's reference No. B 3244-21-1 dated 23. 8. 1921.

The institution No. 192 known as the Kuntyan Sadashiv Deva of Bannur village had a tasdik allowance of Rs. 9-9-7 per annum. The allowance has been held in deposit since - 1901 for want of a duly appointed moktessor. The institution was, therefore, subsequently in 1911 struck off the -- register under B. S. O. No. 67, paragraph 7.

p 168 Vol I

In 1920 the appellant was appointed as a trustee of this institution by the Shivalli Committee and in December last he claimed the tasdik allowance before the Tahsildar. As the institution had already been struck off the register the Tahsildar could not grant his request and referred to

me the petitioner's case. His report shows that the institution has been in good condition and services therein have been regularly performed. The institution having been struck off the register 10 years ago and the allowance having remained unclaimed for about 20 years owing to the neglect of the previous mcktessor, I disallowed the petitioner's claim.

2. The records of enquiry and enclosures to Board's reference are herewith submitted.

E
Dis. 81 R-Rev-11 dated 6.3.1911.
L. Dis. No. 1078-21-E.1 dated 7.7.1921.

O'Mambrey
30.9.21
For Collector.

M. S. R./30-9.

Ltd 30/9/21

Attt 30/9

30 SEP. 1921

R. F. XXII-A-19—21,580—12-16.

Subject.—

FOR . EMBER

Allowance - Tasdik (South Kanara) - Uppinangadi Taluk Bannur village - Institution No.192 - Readmission.

11/1R 5

READ AGAIN B.P., No. _____ dated _____ 191

" _____ , " _____ 191

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 191

_____ " " " _____, " _____, _____ 191.

B.4001/21---(Q)

" " " _____, _____, " _____ 191

3444

Reference B ~~3211~~ -2-2 dt 14-10-21

RESOLUTION. ~~(Misc)~~ No. _____, dated _____ 191

The Board considers that the allowance should be readmitted.

As regards the payment of arrears of the allowance, the petitioner says in paragraph 5 of his petition that he advanced money for the maintenance of the Institution and he wishes to be reimbursed. The Board thinks that the amount of these arrears ~~maxthese~~ might, if sanctioned, be quite appropriately used for permanent benefit of some sort to the Institution instead of being utilised to reimburse the petitionar. The Collector is, ~~therefore~~, requested to state what amount would reimburse ie petitioner and whether the whole of the arrears or the ilance after petitioner's advance is repaid can be used r the permanent benifit of the Institution.

10

Ref.No.5388-21-E1. South Kanara Collector's Offic
Mangalore, 26th November 1921.

B4871

From

R. H. Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara



The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue, Madras.

****

Board's Reference No.B ~~3244~~ 3444-21-2, dated 14-10-1921. p.9 above

Allowance - Tasdik (South Kanara) Uppinangady Taluk Bannur village - Institution No.192 - Readmission.

The petitioner is reported to have spent out of his own pocket about 10 muras of rice per annum for the last - 20 years for the maintenance of the institution which is - not self-supporting and does not possess any immoveable -- property. The approximate value of the rice spent comes to about Rs.1,000/- (i.e. 200 muras at an average price of Rs.5/- per mura), while the tasdik allowance in deposit -- comes to (Rs.9-9-7 x 20) Rs.191-15-8. The petitioner now proposes to tile the roof of the sanctum sanctorum and of the "mandapum" in front of it, the cost of which is estimated at about Rs.250/- The Revenue Inspector reports -- that, if this is done, the whole set of buildings connected with the institution will be completely safe and will not require occasional repairs. The Tahsildar considers that the petitioner's proposal will afford a permanent benefit to the institution. I agree with him that the arrears of the tasdik allowance may be utilized in the manner proposed to give effect to the Board's suggestion.

For Collector. 28 11 21



28.11.21 28.11.21

Allowance Taluk (South Kanara)

Uppinangadi Taluk — Bannur Village — Institution No 192 - Utilisation of arrears - Coll's proposal approved

Read again Bd's Rep. No B 32444-21-2 dated 14-10-21

48711

Rev (Mis) 13588 — 9.12.21.

The Board approves the Collector's suggestion

2. With reference to his petit dated nil. Venkappa Gowda of Bannur Village is referred to the Coll. of South Kanara for orders

LD 21

2M 9122

To The Coll of S Kanara

The petitioner para 2 only through the Coll.

AM 10-12-21

Allowance - Tasdik (South Kanara) - Uppinangadi Tk.

Bannur village- Institution No.192 - Readmission.

m/1
S

- B.4001 from Collr South Kanara.

A.S.

To A.S

See Collr's report at pp 7 & 8 c.f.

Bd has power to readmit claims to lapsed pensions under certain conditions.- B.S.O.67, para 7. p 168, Vol I.

In the case in ? enquiry has shown ~~that the~~ that the Institution is in good condition and services have been regularly performed. p 8 c.f.

Bd's policy in regard to such cases is that the Institution shd. not be allowed to suffer permanent

For orders if the allowance may be readmi B ted without payment of arrears ?

§ 2 of Bd's Ofce
3010 M-14-2 (N)
22-1-15

A may be readmitted.
10/21 6021 6021/B pet. says (para 5) that he advanced money for maintenance & wishes to be reimbursed. In equity he should. On the other hand, if the 20 years' arrears is paid and are not to be ~~used~~ used for reimbursement, it may be used for permanent benefit of some sort to the institution. Pet. may be asked what amount would reimburse pet. & whether the whole of the arrears or the balance after pet's advance is repaid can be used for the permanent benefit

5.

Draft submitted.

...n reply to Petitioner be given after orders are passed on question of payme... of arrears?

H

14-10-21

Bd's refce. B.3244-21-2 d/ 14-10-21. | p.9 c.1.
B.4871 - from Collr. South Kanara | p. 10 c.1

To A.S.

May arrears be sancd. on condition that the amount is utilised in the manner suggested by Collr? Condition for payment of arrears satisfied in this case

12.12.21

7/12/21

✓ S(b)(i) S 067 ~ p 168 of ...) c.f. ... § 1(7)(i) of S 067 + p 82f

The Coll's suggestion may be approved

Lucas

7.12.21

To A.S

Draft at p11 c-1

7/12/21